File No. 1790	F	ile	No.	1	7	9	C
---------------	---	-----	-----	---	---	---	---

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CH	IURCH	OF SC	IENTOLOGY	
OF	CAL	FORNI	A, INC.,	
A	CALI	FORNIA	CORPORATION,	

PLAINTIFF,

DEFENDANTS.

VS.

GERALD ARMSTRONG, ET AL.,

NO. C 420 153

DEPOSITION OF GERALD DAVID ARMSTRONG

AUGUST 17, 1982

VOLUME I

Reported by GREGORY R. ADELSON, C.S.R. NO. 1873

Gregory R. Adelson CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

2116 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 203 • SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90403 • (213) 829-7172 S001 CATALON AVENUE • WOODLAND HILLS, CALIFORNIA 91364 • (213) 703-6142

	13
1	COMMUNICATION KIND OF DRILLS SITTING DOWN AND, YOU KNOW,
2	LOOKING AT ANOTHER PERSON OR ACKNOWLEDGING ANOTHER PERSON'S
8	RESPONSES, ORIGINATING COMMUNICATIONS, AND THAT SORT OF THING.
4	Q WHAT WAS YOUR NEXT INVOLVEMENT WITH SCIENTOLOGY?
Б	A THEN I WENT I GOT A JOB AT THAT FRANCHISE AND
6	WORKED THERE FOR A FEW MONTHS.
7	Q WHAT WAS THE JOB?
8	A I HAD VARIOUS JOBS AT THAT POINT. I WAS GIVING
9	INTRODUCTORY LECTURES, RUNNING THAT SAME COURSE, SELLING BOOKS,
10	WRITING LETTERS, GETTING PEOPLE IN THAT SORT OF THING.
11	Q ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE TERM HAT, H-A-T?
12	A YEAH.
13	Q DID YOU HAVE A HAT AT THAT TIME?
14	A NO.
15	Q WHAT WAS YOUR NEXT AFFLIATION, POST OR EMPLOYMENT
16	WITH THE CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY?
17	A IN THE BEGINNING OF 1971 I JOINED THE SEA ORGANIZA-
18	TION IN LOS ANGELES.
19	Q WHAT IS THE SEA ORGANIZATION?
20	A THAT IS A VERY DIFFICULT QUESTION. I HAVE BEEN
21	TRYING TO ANSWER THAT FOR A LONG TIME.
22	MR. KOHLWECK: LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT A CONSIDERABL
23	AMOUNT OF TIME IS PASSING.
24	MS. DRAGOJEVIC: I AM GOING TO OBJECT TO THAT.
2:	THE WITNESS: I THINK THAT IS A VERY DIFFICULT QUESTION
	TO ANSWER. IT HAS SO MANY FACETS TO IT. I KNOW WHAT IS
27	CLAIMED ON ONE SIDE AS A PR LINE, AND I KNOW WHAT IS CLAIMED
28	THE OTHER SIDE. AND IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO MAKE THE TWO

Le

	20
1	Q WHEN WAS THE LAST DATE THAT YOU WERE A MEMBER OF
2	THE SEA ORGANIZATION, AS FAR AS YOU ARE CONCERNED?
8	A DECEMBER 12TH AROUND THERE. IT TOOK A DAY OR
4	SO TO GET ALL OF MY MATERIALS OUT, BUT THAT IS REALLY IT.
5	Q WHAT IS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF DECEMBER 12TH?
6	A SIGNIFICANCE? THAT'S WHEN I LEFT.
7	Q NOW, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT DECEMBER 12, 1981?
8	A YES.
9	Q HOW DID YOU LEAVE?
10	A I WALKED OUT THE DOOR.
11	Q DID YOU SIGN ANY DOCUMENTS ON YOUR WAY OUT THE
12	DOOR, OR PRIOR TO LEAVING?
13	A I SENT A LETTER TO MY SENIOR.
14	Q WHAT DID THAT LETTER SAY?
15	A IT SAID THAT I'M RESIGNING.
16	Q RESIGNING FROM WHAT?
17	A I SAID I WAS RESIGNING FROM THE SEA ORG AND THE
18	ARCHIVES POST.
19	Q AND FROM THE CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF CALIFORNIA?
211	A NO. I NEVER CONSIDERED MYSELF A MEMBER OF THAT.
21	Q WHAT DID YOU CONSIDER YOURSELF A MEMBER OF?
2:	JUST THE SEA ORGANIZATION?
23	A THE SEA ORGANIZATION AND MAINLY THE ARCHIVES POST.
24	Q LET'S TALK ABOUT THE ARCHIVES POST.
	AS YOU UNDERSTAND IT, WHAT WAS THE ARCHIVES POST?
*	A THAT WAS AN ACCUMULATION OF MATERIALS OF L. RON
10	HUBBARD'S, COPYING THEM. THE MAIN FUNCTION OF IT WAS GETTING
13	THEM TO OMAR GARRISON, WHO WAS WRITING THE BIOGRAPHY OF L. ROI

-

21 1 HUBBARD. 2 ANY OTHER FUNCTION TO THAT POST? Q 3 THERE WERE A FEW, BUT THEY WERE ATTENDANT TO THAT A 4 MAJOR ONE. 5 Q LET'S FIND OUT WHAT THEY WERE. WHAT WERE SOME OF THOSE, LET'S CALL THEM, MINOR 6 7 DUTIES. COPYING MATERIALS WITHIN THE ARCHIVES SO THERE WAS 8 A A COPY. WHEN I CAME ACROSS THE MATERIALS WHICH INDIVIDUALS 9 OUTSIDE HAD. I ACQUIRED THEM. IN THIS CASE I WOULD -- I LET 10 THE ORGANIZATION KNOW THEY WERE AVAILABLE, AND IF THEY WANTED 11 THEM, THEN, GREAT; IF THEY DIDN'T, TOO BAD. THAT REALLY WAS 12 MAINLY IT. I PURCHASED A BUNCH OF FILE CABINETS. I WANTED TO 13 MAKE SURE THAT THE MATERIALS WERE, YOU KNOW, KEPT IN BETTER 14 CONDITION THAN THEY HAD BEEN. 15 NOW, THESE MATERIALS WE ARE TALKING ABOUT, WHEN 16 Q I STARTED THIS LINE OF QUESTIONING, YOU INDICATED THAT THESE 17 WERE WRITINGS AND OTHER THINGS CONCERNING L. RON HUBBARD. WAS 18 THERE ANYTHING CONTAINED IN THE ARCHIVES CONCERNING DIANETICS 1.1 21 AND SCIENTOLOGY OR THE HISTORY OF THOSE TWO ORGANIZATIONS?

A OH, I THINK SO. I THINK SO, YEAH. THE MAJORITY OF WHAT I HAD WAS PROBABLY PRE-DIANETICS, PRE-SCIENTOLOGY; HOWEVEF THERE WAS ALSO A GOOD CHUNK OF DIANETICS- AND SCIENTOLOGY-RELATED MATERIALS, WHICH WERE MR. HUBBARDS, YOU KNOW, WHICH HE HAD TAKEN WITH HIM WHEREVER HE WENT OF HIS PERSONAL ACCOUNTS.

Q WERE THERE ALSO DOCUMENTS FROM EARLY MEMBERS OF SCIENTOLOGY? FOR INSTANCE, WERE THERE ARTICLES OR MATERIALS FROM MARY SUE HUBBARD IN THE ARCHIVES PROJECT?

-

21

ſ		36
1	Q	DID YOU AT ANYTIME GO TO CLEARWATER DURING THE
2	YEAR 19827	
8	A .	YES.
•	Q	WHAT PERIODS OF TIME WAS THAT?
5	A	THAT WAS THE END OF APRIL.
6	Q	UNTIL WHEN?
7	A	I DON'T RECALL THE DATE.
8	Q	APPROXIMATELY?
9	A	I WAS THERE FOR TWO DAYS A DAY. I WAS THERE FOR
0	24 HOURS.	
11	Q	WHO DID YOU MEET WITH WHILE YOU WERE IN CLEARWATER?
12	A	MICHAEL FLYNN.
13	Q	ANYONE ELSE?
14	A	I MET THE OTHER PEOPLE FROM HIS OFFICE.
15	Q	THAT WOULD BE MR. HOFFMAN, MR. GREENE, MR. SULLIVAN
16	A	NO. MR. SULLIVAN? I DON'T RECALL MR. SULLIVAN.
17	BUT I DID M	EET HOFFMAN AND GREENE.
18	Q.	DID YOU HAVE THE DOCUMENTS IN YOUR POSSESSION
19	DURING THAT	TRIP?
211	A	NO.
2:	Q	HAD THEY PREVIOUSLY
22	A	I HAD A COUPLE OF DOCUMENTS AT THAT TIME.
23	Q	WHICH DOCUMENTS WERE THOSE?
24	A	I HAD A LETTER FROM MARY SUE AND I HAD I HAD A
21	LIST OF INI	TIALS OF PEOPLE WHO HAD WRITTEN LETTERS AND SIGNED
*	THEM FOR L.	RON HUBBARD TO HIS SON, L. RON HUBBARD, JR.
87	- q	CONCERNING THAT LETTER FROM MARY SUE HUBBARD, WHAT
28		TENTS OR CONTEXT OF THAT LETTER, AS BEST YOU CAN

Sea.

-

		67
1	Q	BY MR. KOHLWECK: MR. ARMSTRONG, DO YOU OWN A CAR?
2	A	YES.
3	Q	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE MANNER IN WHICH YOU OWN THAT
	CART	
5	A	YES.
6	Q	USING THAT SAME DEFINITION AND YOU DO NOT HAVE
. 7	TO TELL US	WHAT IT IS DO YOU OWN THE DOCUMENTS THAT WE ARE
8	NOW TALKING	G ABOUT?
9	A	NO.
10	Q	WHO DOES OWN THEM, IF YOU KNOW?
11		(DISCUSSION BETWEEN THE WITNESS AND
12		HIS COUNSEL OUT OF THE HEARING OF
13		THE REPORTER.)
14	THE	VITNESS: L. RON HUBBARD.
15	Q	BY MR. KOHLWECK: AND UPON WHAT DO YOU BASE THAT
16	INFORMATION	13
17	*	THEY WERE TAKEN FROM HIS ARCHIVES AND GIVEN TO OMAN
18 .	GARRISON.	
19	Q	WHEN YOU SAY, "HIS ARCHIVES," WHERE WERE THOSE
20	ARCHIVES PH	HYSICALLY LOCATED?
21	A	THEY WERE LOCATED IN A COUPLE OF LOCATIONS, VARIOUS
22	LOCATIONS,	BUT PRINCIPALLY IN GILMAN HOT SPRINGS.
23	Q	DO YOU KNOW WHO OWNS TITLE TO GILMAN HOT SPRINGS?
24	٨	NO.
8	Q	I WILL REPRESENT TO YOU THE PERSON WHOSE NAME
*	APPEARS ON	THAT DEED IS JOHN G. PETERSON, ATTORNEY AT LAW,
Ŧ	MARINA DEL	
		DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO DISBELIEVE MY

SAYING DOCUMENTS THEMSELVES, THE IDENTITY OF THE DOCUMENTS? 1 2 MS. DRAGOJEVIC: THE IDENTITY AND THE WAY HE CAN BEST 8 DESCRIBE THEM HERE TODAY. MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THAT IS 4 IN CATEGORIES OF DOCUMENTS. MR. KOHLWECK: LET'S PROCEED WITH THAT, THEN. 5 WHAT CATEGORIES OF DOCUMENTS HAVE YOU PROVIDED TO 6 0 COUNSEL AT THIS TIME CONCERNING MR. HUBBARD AND THE CHURCH OF 7 8 SCIENTOLOGY? A LETTERS BETWEEN MR. HUBBARD AND HIS FIRST WIFE, 9 WHICH I MENTIONED EARLIER; LETTERS BETWEEN MR. HUBBARD AND HIS 10 SECOND WIFE, WHICH I MENTIONED EARLIER -- NO, I DIDN'T MENTION 11 HER. THAT WOULD BE SARAH NORTHRUP HUBBARD; LETTERS HAVING TO 12 DO WITH JOHN W. PARSONS; LETTERS HAVING TO DO WITH -- CORRESPON-13 DENCE CONCERNING OR WITH DON PURCELL. 11 NOW, MR. PURCELL IS AN ATTORNEY, IS HE NOT, 15 0 LICENSED IN ENGLAND? 16 17 A NO. MS. DRAGOJEVIC: DO YOU KNOW FOR SURE ONE WAY OR THE 18 OTHER, GERRY? 19 20 THE WITNESS: YEAH, I KNOW. 21 MS. DRAGOJEVIC: ALL RIGHT. 22 THE WITNESS: THERE ARE ALSO LETTERS HAVING TO DO WITH 21 HUBBARD EXPLORATIONAL COMPANY -- CORRESPONDENCE HAVING TO DO 24 WITH THAT. I MENTIONED THE NAVAL PAPERS ALREADY. THERE ARE A COUPLE TAPES WHICH WERE GIVEN TO ME BY BARBARA DE CELLE. Q AND WHAT ARE THOSE TAPES OF? THOSE ARE TAPES OF THE MEETINGS FROM THE M.C.C.S. MISSION.

	103
1	Q WAS ONE OF THOSE MISSIONS THE CONTENT OF AN
2	AFFIDAVIT THAT YOU RECENTLY FILED AND EXECUTED ON AUGUST 11TH?
8	A YES.
	Q ARE THERE ANY OTHER CATEGORIES OF DOCUMENTS OR
5	MATERIALS?
6	A I BELIEVE THERE ARE. I DON'T RECALL THEM AT THE
7	MOMENT.
8	Q OF THE CATEGORIES THAT YOU'VE JUST RECITED, HOW
9	MANY OF THOSE CATEGORIES INCLUDE ORIGINAL MATERIALS THAT YOU'VE
10	HAD IN YOUR POSSESSION AND CONTROL AND HAVE PROVIDED TO
11	ATTORNEYS?
12	A MAYBE A COUPLE.
18	Q CAN YOU RECALL WHICH ONES THOSE ARE?
14	A HUBBARD EXPLORATIONAL COMPANY, AND THEN THERE WAS
15	SOME MEMORANDUM FILES, WHICH I HAVEN'T EVEN GONE THROUGH. I
36	SENT THOSE OFF.
17	Q WHEN DID YOU SEND THESE OFF?
18	A STARTING IN MAY I SENT OFF ABOUT THREE
19	MS. DRAGOJEVIC: HE SIMPLY ASKED YOU WHEN. SO JUST
20	ANSWER THE QUESTION.
21	THE WITNESS: MAY, JULY, AUGUST.
n	Q BY MR. KOHLWECK: HAS ANYONE EVER TOLD YOU THAT
	HAVING THESE ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS IS ONE WAY OF FORCING L. RON
H	HUBBARD OUT OF HIDING?
	A NO.
×.	Q YOU NEVER HEARD THAT STATEMENT?
24.	MR. KOHLWECK: COUNSEL, I SHOW YOU THIS, AND WITH YOUR
	APPROVAL I WOULD ASK THAT WE MARK IT AS PLAINTIFF'S 4.

.

2.,

MS. DRAGOJEVIC: APPARENTLY AT THE LAST PAGE OF THIS DOCUMENT YOU HAVE JUST HANDED ME, WHICH IS ENTITLED "SUPPLE-MENTAL AFFIDAVIT OF GERRY ARMSTRONG," FILED IN THE TONJA BURDEN CASE, THERE IS A DIFFERENCE IN THAT APPARENTLY THE SIGNATURE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY IS MISSING. THERE IS NO SIGNATURE.

Q BY MR. KOHLWECK: OUTSIDE OF THAT DIFFERENCE, DO 8 YOU RECOGNIZE THIS AFFIDAVIT, MR. ARMSTRONG?

A YES.

9

20

21

22

22

22 .

MR. HELLER: EXCUSE ME FOR A SECOND. THERE IS A NOTARY
 ATTACHED TO THE BACK. YOU HAVE REFERRED TO A SIGNATURE UNDER
 PENALTY OF PERJURY. DO YOU MEAN THE SIGNATURE ON THE BOTTOM
 OF THIS IS MISSING?

MS. DRAGOJEVIC: MR. ARMSTRONG BELIEVES WHEN HE PREPARED
AN AFFIDAVIT, WHICH APPEARS TO BE THIS AFFIDAVIT THAT I'VE BEEN
HANDED BY COUNSEL, THERE WAS A SIGNATURE AT THE END IN
ADDITION TO THIS PARTICULAR NOTARIZATION PAPER. SO THIS MAY OR
MAY NOT BE THE PARTICULAR SUPPLEMENTAL AFFIDAVIT MR. ARMSTRONG
PREPARED.

Q BY MR. KOHLWECK: MR. ARMSTRONG, IF YOU WOULD, WOULD YOU TAKE A LOOK AT THIS AND SEE IF, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE SIGNATURE LINE AND THE AFFIRMATION THAT YOU ARE SIGNING THIS UNDER PENALTY AND PAINS OF PERJURY, DOES THIS APPEAR TO BE THE AFFIDAVIT THAT YOU RECENTLY EXECUTED?

A YES.

MR. KOHLWECK: I WILL REPRESENT THIS IS AN IDENTICAL COPY THAT I WILL HAVE MARKED AS PLAINTIFF'S 4.

(THE AFOREMENTIONED SUPPLEMENTAL

AFFIDAVIT OF GERRY ARMSTRONG, RE BURDEN VS. CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF CALIFORNIA, WAS MARKED BY THE REPORTER AS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT NO. 4 (A-F) FOR IDENTIFICATION. AND IS ATTACHED HERETO.)

105

BY MR. KOHLWECK: MR. ARMSTRONG, DIRECTING YOUR Q ATTENTION TO PAGE 3 OF THIS AFFIDAVIT -- EXCUSE ME. 7 DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 2, THERE APPEARS TO BE SOME 8 INDENTED AND QUOTED PARTICULARS HERE THAT IS SINGLE SPACED. 9 IS THIS IN PART TRANSCRIPTS OF THAT TAPE THAT YOU STATED YOU 10 RECEIVED FROM BARBARA DE CELLE? 11

A YES.

1

2

8

4

5

6

12

16

19

211

21

- 21

21

-

n

Q AND CONTINUING ON TO THE NEXT PAGE AND THE PAGES 13 THEREAFTER, IS ALL THIS INDENTED AND QUOTED MATERIAL FROM THOSE 14 TAPES? 15

> A YES.

Q IS CHARLES PARSELLE, LISTED ON PAGE 3, A RELATION 17 OF DON PURCELL? 18

NOT THAT I KNOW OF. PURCELL IS P-U-R-C-E-L-L; A PARSELLE IS P-A-R-S-E-L-L-E.

BY MR. KNOHWECK: DO YOU KNOW IF CHARLES PARSELLE Q IS AN ATTORNEY LICENSED AS A BARRISTER IN ENGLAND?

> A NO.

YOU DON'T KNOW THAT TO BE TRUE OR UNTRUE? 0

A NO.

Q THE NEXT LINE DOWN, WHICH IS PARAGRAPH (B) OF THE INDENTED TEXT, IT STATES: "ALAN WERTHEIMER, ATTORNEY FOR L. RON HUBBARD "

106 1 BY THIS REFERENCE, DO YOU UNDERSTAND MR. WERTHEIMER TO BE AN ATTORNEY? 2 YES. 8 A DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THIS TAPE TO BE 4 A TAPE-RECORDED SESSION BETWEEN ATTORNEYS AND CLIENTS? 5 main In far Frit : 1, CM Fri AT LEAST ONE OF THEM, YES. NOT THIS ONE, BUT 6 PERHAPS THIS ONE (INDICATING). 7 ARE THESE ALL PART OF THE SAME TAPE? Q 8 A NO. 9 THEY ARE TWO SEPARATE TAPES? Q 10 A YES. 11 FOR PURPOSES OF THE COURT, SO WE DON'T CONFUSE IT, 0 12 YOU ARE INDICATING THE BOTTOM OF PAGE 2 IS DIFFERENT FROM THE 13 BOTTOM OF PAGE 3 AND THE TEXT FOLLOWING THAT? 14 YES. A 15 0 DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THE 16 PARTICIPANTS IN THIS CONVERSATION DID NOT MEAN THE CONVERSATION 17 TO BE CONFIDENTIAL AT THE TIME THAT IT TOOK PLACE? 18 CAN YOU REWORD THAT? I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND IT. 19 A DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THIS 211 0 CONVERSATION THAT STARTS ON PAGE 3 AT THE BOTTOM OF YOUR 21 AFFIDAVIT WAS NOT MEANT BY THE PARTICIPANTS TO BE A CONFIDENTIAL 2 22 CONVERSATION? BY "CONFIDENTIAL," I MEAN AN EXCHANGE BETWEEN ATTORNEYS AND CLIENTS. NO. A Q IF BARBARA DE CELLE WERE TO TESTIFY UNDER OATH THAT AT NO TIME DID SHE GIVE YOU THESE TAPES, WOULD SHE BE COMMITTING PERJURY?

		107
.*.	1	A YES.
·	2	Q WHEN DID SHE GIVE YOU THE TAPE?
	8	A IN THE LATTER PART OF 1980 I'M SORRY. 1981.
	4	Q HOW LATTER? AFTER YOU HAD BROKEN POST?
	5	A NO.
	6	Q WHILE YOU WERE STILL ON POST AS THE ARCHIVIST?
	7	A (WITNESS NODS HEAD UP AND DOWN.)
	8	Q WHAT DID SHE SAY TO YOU WHEN SHE GAVE YOU THE TAPE?
	9	A SHE SAID, "WE DON'T HAVE ANY MORE USE FOR THESE
	10	THINGS. YOU CAN HAVE THEM."
	11	Q DID SHE MEAN THE CONVERSATION ON THE TAPE OR DID
	12	SHE MEAN THE TAPE ITSELF?
	13	A SHE DIDN'T SAY. THEY HAD BEEN SITTING ON HER DESK
	14	FOR ALMOST A YEAR. AND SHE SAID, "TAKE THEM."
	15	Q DID YOU HAVE ANY UNDERSTANDING?
	16	MS. DRAGOJEVIC: OF WHAT?
	17	Q BY MR. KOHLWECK: WHETHER THE TAPES WERE TO BE
	18	ERASED OR WHETHER YOU COULD USE ANYTHING ON THE TAPE FOR YOUR
	19	OWN PERSONAL USE?
	. 3 0	MS. DRAGOJEVIC: HE'S ALREADY INDICATED THAT SHE DIDN'T
1	21	SAY.
	z	MR. KOHLWECK: I AM ASKING IF HE HAD AN UNDERSTANDING
		FROM ANY SOURCE.
		THE WITNESS: I DON'T THINK SO. I DON'T THINK SHE
		THOUGHT OF IT.
		Q BY MR. KOHLWECK: WHAT DID YOU THINK OF IT?
		A AT THE TIME I HAD NO IDEA WHAT THEY WERE.
		Q PREVIOUSLY YOU HAD SIGNED A CONFIDENTIALITY BOND,
	运程	

-

		108
1	HAD YOU NOT, KEEPING CONFIDENTIAL A	LL INFORMATION OF THE CHURCH
2	AND ITS STRUCTURE THAT CAME WITHIN	YOUR CONTROL, POSSESSION,
8	OR USE; IS THAT TRUE?	
4	A THAT'S TRUE.	
5	Q AND YOU WERE STILL ON PO	OST WITH THE CHURCH AT THE-
6	TIME YOU CAME INTO POSSESSION OF TH	IS TAPE; IS THAT TRUE?
7	A YES.	
8	Q FROM THAT DID YOU HAVE	AN UNDERSTANDING THAT THE
9	CONTENTS OF THIS TAPE SHOULD REMAIN	CONFIDENTIAL?
10	A AT THE TIME I HAD NO ID	EA WHAT IT CONTAINED.
11	Q WHEN DID YOU DISCOVER W	HAT IT CONTAINED?
12	A IN MARCH NO, IN MAY.	
-	Q HOW DID YOU HAPPEN TO D	ISCOVER THE CONTENTS?
14	A I WAS DRIVING UP TO OMA	R'S PLACE, AND I WAS TAKING
15	SOME OF HIS MATERIALS. SEE, I HAD	GIVEN HIM THESE TAPES JUST
16	TO BE USED AS TAPES FOR RECORDING CO	ONVERSATIONS. I JUST HAPPENED
17	TO BE ON THE WAY UP LISTENING TO SO	ME TAPES, AND THESE HAPPENED
18	TO BE THEM.	
19	Q HAVE YOU COME INTO THE	POSSESSION OF ANY OTHER
20	TAPES IN A SIMILAR MANNER?	
81	A TWO.	
R	O HAVE YOU MADE INVESTIGA	TION AS TO WHAT IS RECORDED
2	ON THOSE TAPES?	
-	A NO.	
*	Q HAVEN'T PLAYED THEM AT	ALL 7
	A NO.	· · ·
	Q HAVE YOU PROVIDED THEM	TO ANYONE FLSE?
		IV ATIONE LESET
	A JUST OMAR.	

.